BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	Δ	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
077565	<u>.673 - 08/1</u>	0/90 VAN DER LAAN		34363/6860
			HEND EXAMINER K	
		18M2/0927		
FISH & RICHARDSON 2200 SAND HILL ROAD STE 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MENLO PARK, CA 940			181	4 20
			DATE MAILED:	09/27/93

slow is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

	Below is a communication from the Listance
	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	ADVISORY ACTION
] TH	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
) [is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
) [expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) ebove.
h a	ppellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
A E	pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed 9-2-93 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
٠ ل	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
(e. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They ere not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The phrase "exhibiting altered protease activity" constitutes new matter. There is no support in the application, nor specifically at as 29, lines 12-16. At best this shows different amounts of protease mutants produced, 1 is not due to their specific altered activity.
2. [Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-ellowable claims.
3. 🕽	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 💢 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be es follows:
	Claims allowed:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. [The effidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5. [The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reesons why it was not earlier presented.
_ τ	he proposed drawing correction has hes not been approved by the examiner.
_ c	ROBERT A. WAX SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 180